

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/087,850	03/05/2002	Parashu Ram Singh	38512/43	9670
7590 04/20/2004		EXAMINER		
BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP Box 25, Commerce Court West			. WANG, SHENGJUN	
199 Bay Street	erce Court West		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Toronto, ON M5L 1A9			1617	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/087,850	SINGH ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
Th	MAII INO DATE efabile assessmination on	Shengjun Wang	1617
Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to regard Any reply regions	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repling for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			•
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sinc	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u> action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This e this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of	f Claims		
4a) C 5)∭ Clair 6)∭ Clair 7)∭ Clair	m(s) <u>1-18 and 30-62</u> is/are pending in the of the above claim(s) <u>1-14 and 30-51</u> is/are m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>15-18, 52-62</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application P	apers		
10)☐ The c Appli Repla	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc cant may not request that any objection to the accement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Expanding	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicati Irity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
* See th	ne attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		_	
2) D Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) l/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/087,850 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted February 2, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ali (DE 196 47 100) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Claim rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-18 and 52-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ali (DE 196 47 100) in view of Hoffman et al (WO 86/00014, IDS), SaNogueira Jr. et al. (US 6,001,377), Murad (US 5,804,168), and Cohen et al. (US 5,876,736), and further in view of Raab (IDS) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted February 2, 2004 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive for reasons discussed below.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,850

Art Unit: 1617

5. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "enhancing delivery of an anti-oxidant to the viable epidermis" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). The claims are directed to a method comprising applying to the skin surface an composition comprising urea and vitamin E. Such method is clearly anticipated, or fairly suggested by the cited prior arts.

Page 3

- 6. Applicants assert that none of the prior arts teaches that high concentration of urea, above 10 % w/w, enhance the penetration of vitamin E. It is well settled patent law that mode of action elucidation does not impart patentable moment to otherwise old and obvious subject matter. Applicant's attention is directed to In re Swinehart, (169 USPQ 226 at 229) where the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals stated "is elementary that the mere recitation of a newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by thing in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art." In the instant invention, the claims are directed to the ultimate utility set forth in the prior art, albeit distanced by various biochemical functions. The ultimate utility for the claimed compounds is old and well known rendering the claimed subject matter obvious to the skilled artisan. It would follow therefore that the instant claims are properly rejected under 35 USC 103.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/087,850

Art Unit: 1617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571)272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Shengjun Wang

April 14, 2004